

## Managing Your Courtroom: Practical Solutions to Common Courtroom Issues

Michigan Judicial Institute  
June 12, 2007

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managing Pro Se and Militia Litigants

### Faculty

- Hon. Amy Krause, 54-A District Court, Lansing
- Hon. Michael F. Skinner, Eaton County Probate Court
- Mr. John Ort, MSC, Security and Emergency Management

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managing Pro Se Litigants

- The pro se litigant who is unprepared
- The “litigious” pro se litigant
- The litigant that wants the judge to act as attorney
- The pro se litigant who has seen too many “Law and Order” shows
- Dealing with non-licensed “helpers”

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managing Your Courtroom: Practical Solutions to Common Courtroom Issues

Michigan Judicial Institute  
June 12, 2007

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managing Pro Se and Militia Litigants

### Faculty

- Hon. Amy Krause, 54-A District Court, Lansing
- Hon. Michael F. Skinner, Eaton County Probate Court
- Mr. John Ort, MSC, Security and Emergency Management

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managing Militia Litigants

- Dealing with the Militia litigant
  - Common types of cases
  - Tactics used
  - Legal arguments made
- Addressing security issues with litigants who are potentially harmful or unstable

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE 56<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF EATON

JENNIFER

PLANTIFF,

File No. 01-1506-DP

V.

CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX,

TO: CLERK OF THE COURT

PLEASE ENTER NOTICE OF MOTION FOR BILL  
OF PARTICULARS, OBJECTION, AND AFFIDAVIT-  
SUPPORTING MOTION OF BILL OF PARTICULAR  
REQUIRED FOR ANSWER, NOTICE OF SPECIAL  
APPEARANCE AND AFFIDAVIT OF DEFENSE. The  
undersigned gives notices that on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2007 filed  
with THE 56<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF  
EATON. Copy of this notice and affidavit is attached and  
incorporated by reference.

1. End of certification of debtor
2. U.C.C FINANCING STATEMENT
3. SECURITY AGREEMENT
4. AFFIDAVIT
5. COPYRIGHT NOTICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN, COUNTY OF EATON  
**FILED**

MAR 29 2007

M. FRANCES FULLER  
EATON COUNTY CLERK

Acknowledged before me in Eaton County,

Michigan, on March 29th, 2007

By Clayton Edjureka Knox

Tara Shively

Eaton County Notary  
My Comm. Expires 7/1/2011

Signature

Clayton E. Knox  
Secured Party All Rights Reserved

TARA SHIVELY

Notary Public, Eaton County, MI  
Acting in Eaton County, Michigan  
My Comm. Expires July 1, 2011

Copy  
Set in Judicial Court copy

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COUNTY OF INGHAM

Clayton Knox secured party affiant, of LANSING CITY, INGHAM  
COUNTY, MICHIGAN being sworn on his oath deposes and says:

1. MICHIGAN CONSTITUTION Article I § 21  
SEC. 21

No person shall be imprisoned for debt arising out of or founded on contract,  
express or implied, except in case fraud.

2. MICHIGAN CONSTITUTION Article I § 12  
SEC. 12

The privilege of writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended unless in cases  
of rebellion or invasion the public safety may require it.

3. SECURITY AGREEMENT NON-NEGOTIABLE IDEMINITY  
CLAUSE between DEBTOR AND Secured Party. [See attached references  
end of DEBTOR Certification, U.C.C. FINANCING STATEMENT]

4. U.S CONSTITUTION Amendment V [1791] No person shall be held to  
answer for a capitol, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment  
or indictment of a Grand jury except in cases arising in land or naval forces,  
or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor  
shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor  
shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

*Clayton E. Knox - EL*  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED SECURED PARTY

Subscribe and sworn to before me on March 29th, 2007  
Notary public in and for the county of Eaton  
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 7/1/2011  
SIGNATURE J. MacShively

JAPAS HIVELEY  
Notary Public, Eaton County, MI  
Acting in Eaton County, Michigan  
My Comm. Expires July 1, 2011

copy  
56th Judicial Court copy

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE 56<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF EATON

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

PLANTIFF,

File No. 01-1506-DP

V.

CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX,

---

AFFIDAVIT supporting MOTION for Bill of Particulars  
required for answer

Clayton E. Knox-EL Secured Party being first duly sworn deposes  
and says:

1. He is the secured party and not the debtor in the above entitled action.
2. The complaint in this action was presented to the secured party it's on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of March, and the debtor CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX time for objecting has not expired.
3. From the complaint in its present form it appears that plaintiff seeks to be dispute over title. The allegation do not state particulars of plaintiffs cause of action.
4. Secured party intends in good faith to defend this action on the merits, and believe he has a good and sufficient defense to it, nevertheless Secured Party is ignorant of the particulars of the cause of action asserted against the debtor CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX in the complaint and cannot safely, adequately , or fully prepare responsive pleading to it without knowing additional particulars of the cause of action, as specified and called for in the Secured Party motion for bill of particulars.

---

COPY  
56th JUDICIAL COURT COPY

5. Affiant Secured Party genuinely believes that a bill of particulars as requested in the motion is necessary. The bill is not sought for the purpose of harassment or delay.

03-29 2007

Signature Clayton F. Knox - EL  
Secured Party (All Rights Reserved)

Acknowledged before me in Eaton County,

Michigan, on March 29th, 2007

By Clayton Edjivake Knox

Tara Shively

Eaton County Notary

My Comm. Expires 7/1/2011

TARA SHIVELY  
Notary Public, Eaton County, MI  
Acting in Eaton County, Michigan  
My Comm. Expires July 1, 2011

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE 56<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF EATON

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

PLANTIFF,

File No. 01-1506-DP

V.

CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX,

MOTION for Bill of Particulars

TO: Allen Schlossberg /Friend of the Court/Family Court Referee:

Please take notice that:

1. on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of March 2007 at 8:30 am, or as soon as Clayton E. Knox-EL Secured Party may be heard, in the Court room of 56<sup>th</sup> division of the above entitled Court, Located at 1045 Independence Blvd. Charlotte, Eaton, County, Michigan. Secured Party will move the court for its order requiring you to furnish a verified bill of particulars your pleadings within such time as the court shall fix, setting forth the details and particulars of scope, detail and procedures to initiate action against the DEBTOR CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX with items of debit and credit, with dates of your dealings and transaction with defendant as alleged in the cause of action of your pleadings

2. The motion will be made on the ground that Secured Party Clayton E. Knox-EL is unable to prepare responsive pleading and defense or for the trial of this action or as the case may be until the bill of particulars is furnished to Secured Party Clayton E. Knox-EL

3. The motion will be based on this notice, the affidavit of supporting motion for bill of particulars required for answer served and filled with and pleadings, papers, records and files of this action.

03-29 2007

Signature Clayton E. Knox-EL  
All Rights Reserved Secured Party

Subscribe and sworn to before me on March 29, 2007. Notary public in and for the county of Eaton, MI. My comm. exp. July 1, 2011.

SIGNATURE:

TARA SHIVELY

Notary Public, Eaton County, MI  
Acting in Eaton County, Michigan  
My Comm. Expires July 1, 2011

Copy  
56th JUDICIAL COURT Copy

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE 56<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF EATON

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

PLANTIFF,

File No. 01-1506-DP

V.

CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX,

NOTICE OF SPECIAL APPEARANCE

TO: Allen Schlossberg /Friend of the Court/ Family Court Referee:

Please take notice that I Clayton E. Knox-EL Secured Party appear specially on behalf of the DEBTOR CLAYTON EDJUREKE KNOX for the sole purpose giving notice to creditors of indemnity clause of security agreement, jurisdictional pleading, and that Clayton E. Knox-EL Secured Party does not appear generally in the action, reserving all objection to the jurisdiction of the Court or Judge over Clayton E. Knox-EL

3-29-07

Signature Clayton E. Knox-EL  
All Rights Reserved Secured Party  
Acknowledged before me in Eaton County,

Michigan, on March 29th, 2007

By Clayton Edjureke Knox

TARA SHIVELY  
Notary Public, Eaton County, MI  
Acting in Eaton County, Michigan  
Eaton County Notary Tara Shively  
My Comm. Expires 7/1/2011

56th District Court Copy



## *Propria persona and Sui Juris or Slave as Pro Se*

Pro Se is not an exclusive Title, (Black's 5th ed) it has levies, taxes and debt attached to it... but a Sovereign Citizen cannot be taxed, levied and indebted... so the system has invented the Straw Man as that, "Fiction of law" (Blacks 5th ed) that represents your share of the imposed National Debt. he and all of his holdings are collateral for that debt ...but he is only an "Fiction of Law" and has no body

The Straw Man cannot, stand in your place, represent, because you are Sovereign in your Sovereign Space, where you stand arms length all around.. because you by the Constitution are a Sovereign Citizen,...King/Queen ..and Pro Se is not Sovereign...and he has no body....

But you can stand in his place he's a Straw Man and there is nobody standing there in his place... until you stand in his place... which you can do because there is no one standing there and then by that "fiction of law" you assume the identity of and give Body to the Straw Man. And you assume the responsibilities and accept the pains and penalties that can be imposed on a Straw Man, a Slave...and he is never in a court of law, he is a slave and is collateral for the whole debt ...so he is in Administrative Court which is conducted by Administrative Rules and is not a Court of Law.. and you want to stand in his place??? And that's Pro Se and that's how they take the Kids the Straw Man is Collateral and his Kids are Chattle and Commodities ( Some one ask whose words were it is that defines Juvenile Court as a Quasi Judicial Administrative Court..."It's in the Child Protection" Agencies Protocol ( Training manuals they also call Children Commodities )

It works that way with a Attorney too... he cannot represent you because you are you and he cannot stand in your place because you are standing there but his job is to Attorn, return to the State, because of his Oath to the BAR and to the (Queen) but he can let you assume the identity of the Straw Man then you are both representing the Straw Man but you think he/she is representing you and by this Deceit you accept the pains and penalties impose on a "Fiction of Law" the Straw Man given your Body body by you,... A fictional Body created by : Representations of persons, "A fiction of law" as defined by, Black's law Dictionary 5th ed...

I haven't much to say about the definitions, Propria persona and Sui Juris ... they speak for themselves they are both Sovereign because they have exclusive Title... have unencumbered Title to your persona... by either Title... They speak for themselves and any one who believes in their personal Sovereignty should be one or the other... the only other choice is Slave..

# The Sanctity of the Jury System

It is maintained by the Common Law Judicature Movement that Common Law birthed the united States constitution as well as the other state constitutions.

It is maintained that Common Law and precedents established through the long standing principle of following 12-man jury court decisions (*stare decisis*), be the recognized mode of determining right or wrong, both in judging the law and the actions of people and government, this is to include the UCC Uniform Commercial Code or any other law that needs review or consideration in the meeting of justice fairly and equitably.

It is further maintained that though a limited body of jurors may be sufficient to determine the legality of items as they pertain to a state while working inside it's granted constitution; it is not permissible to abridge a Sovereign or Citizen's right to be heard by a 12 man Jury of his Peers regardless of any state constitutional provision to prefer less than this number. Further, a true 12 man Common Law assembly cannot convict or penalize with less than a unanimous decision. Hence, a single vote of NOT GUILTY can nullify or invalidate any man-made law involved in a case that, for one reason or another, ought not to be enforced.

State constitutions granting other directions as in a conviction based upon a  $\frac{3}{4}$  vote of the jurors in civil cases or smaller jury sizes are a misapplication of our Common Law Rights. Dictates such as these are not recognized to be in harmony with the guiding principles of Common Law and are hence noted to the contrary to Common Law in it's fullest sense and hence do not fall into the set of practices to be upheld by the Common Law Judicature Reformation Movement. It remains our stand that the state constitutions are to limit the state government from treading on the rights of the People, not to infringe on the Peoples Common Law Rights, nor to dictate to the Sovereign his Common Law Rights, which we hereby reserve against any efforts to legislate or contract away. It is further recognized that a limited body of men not compromising a full quorum of 12 man jury would probably carry less weight than may be required to be fully recognized by state and federal governments to accept the constraints imposed against government action through jury nullification of unjust law, or the balancing of government action or impositions by others acting under the color or pretext of law and or coercive actions, threats, seizures, to include abuse of stated position or authority; in a manner that assures the continued establishment of our Common Law Rights in the Land in spite of the many changes and modifications that are made both in government, law and action.

Further it is maintained that being Common Law Judicature Constitutional Framework follows Common Law; thus reviving Public Law in it's fullest sense it is therefore superior to any State or other charter or constitutional measures that can be subverted for the Subjugation of the People or the infringement of their rights. Common Law is the best protection we have against an abusive government.

To end this discussion we quote from Blackstone: "Here therefore a competent number of sensible and upright, chosen by lot from among those of the middle rank, will be found the best investigators of truth, and the surest guardians of public justice. For the most powerful individual in the state will be cautious of committing any flagrant invasion of another's right, when he knows that the fact of his oppression must be examined and decided by twelve indifferent men, not appointed till the hour of trial; and that, when once the fact is ascertained, the law must of course redress it. This therefore preserves in the hands of the people that share which they ought to have in the administration of public justice, and prevents the encroachments of the more powerful and wealthy citizens.

Every new tribunal, erected for the decision of facts, without the intervention of a jury (whether composed of justices of the peace, commissioners of the revenue, judges of a court or conscience, or any other standing magistrates), is a step towards establishing aristocracy, the most oppressive of absolute governments."

It is, therefore, upon the whole a duty every man owes to his country, his friends, his posterity, and himself, to maintain to the utmost of his power this valuable constitution in all its rights; to restore it to its ancient dignity, if at all impaired by the different value of property, or otherwise deviated from its first institution; to amend it, wherever it is defective; and above all, to guard with the most jealous circumspection against the introduction of new and arbitrary methods of trial, which, under a variety of possible pretenses, may in time imperceptibly undermine this best preservation of English liberty.

"Upon these accounts, the trial by jury ever has been, and I trust ever will be, looked upon as the glory of the English law. And if it has so great an advantage over others in regulating civil property, how much must that advantage be heightened, when it is applied in criminal cases!...it is the most transcendent privilege which any subject can enjoy, or wish for, that he cannot be affected either in his property, his liberty, or his person, but by the unanimous consent of twelve of his neighbors and equals.

A constitution, that I may venture to affirm, has under Providence, secured the just liberties of this nation for a long succession of ages. And therefore a celebrated French writer, who concluded, that because Rome, Sparta, and Carthage had lost their

liberties, therefore those of England in time must perish, should have recollected that Rome, Sparta, and Carthage, at the time when their liberties were lost, were strangers to the trial by jury.” Blackstone, *supra*.

Thus in summary, a single vote of NOT GUILTY can nullify or invalidate any man-made law involved in a case that, for one reason or another, ought not to be enforced.

If you feel that the statute involved in any criminal case being tried by you is unfair, or that it infringes upon the defendant's natural God-given inalienable, or Constitutional rights, you must affirm that the offending statute is really no law at all and that the violation of it is no crime at all -

# The Common Law Judicature Reformation Movement

The Judicature movement is meant to function as an organized political community and energy in the nation, state, county and city for the establishment or restoration of the Constitutional Republic and Rights of Free Men.

The purpose of The Judicature reformation movement is to promote the development of state chapters formed by Constitutionally minded individuals; who are grounded in Common Law; who have the commitment to see that things progress in careful methodical manner, following Common Law and dispensing True Justice.

Through careful application, the promoters and supporters for each state chapter is to ensure that we are careful to garner and earn legitimacy and respect in the community through the careful and diligent application of Common Law in it's fullest time honored tradition of promoting the concepts of Public Law and Common Law Rights, as given by our Creator as our unalienable rights, that is our rights of possession that cannot be denied or lawfully signed away, as under Common Law the same were granted by God to the People. This taking back of the judicial process is important and key to support the reestablishment of de jure governments in each of the 50 Republics and the united States. The purpose of the Judicature Reformation Movement (as a State Chapter) is to reestablish a de jure government of each State Republic and the united States through county/state based houses of delegates duly elected by Electors who desire a restoration of lawful government.

Due to the loss of the American Union prior to the war of northern aggression (Civil War), when the southern states walked out of Congress, resulting in a "sine die" situation, a de facto government was created after hostilities ceased.

The states of the earlier union became franchisees of that de facto national government known as the "UNITED STATES". Today the result is a government of lawlessness, enforcing "code" through arbitrary and capricious means, by way of military procedure at the direction of

the commander-in-chief. That "code", created by "executive orders" and a militarily conscripted "Congress" [voted in by the franchised people of the franchised state], is then delegated for enforcement by the various "branches" of "government" ["departments" prior to the Civil War]. These administrative agencies are thus operating outside of true positive law and are simply code enforcement services.

For these and many other reasons, it is essential for the people to properly reassert their unalienable rights, by taking unified action by becoming involved and forming their own State Judicature reformation movement or other suitable effort and organize at a city/county/state level in order to return to the Law that ensures America will remain a great, and prosperous nation.

## ORGANIZATION AND OPERATION

I. The Judicature reformation movement is the ultimate civil authority of the county and wields the same power as the county board of supervisors, and much more. The Judicature reformation movement is an organization, based on the enlightened principles of common law and Constitution both State and national. The Judicature movement is comprised of two parts; first is organizing the force of the county Grand Jury in a de jure venue and jurisdiction to regulate the actions of our de facto government; second, the Judicature movement maintains an Assize Court in order to address those issues that the Corporate UNITED STATES and the Corporate State Courts are unable to fairly address due to a breach of confidence demonstrated in an obvious conflict of interest and collusion of the mandated court officers in offering their first allegiance to the court and monetary interests above both constitutional monetary obligations and mandated common law rights. The Judicature movement is formed as an alternate civil authority or body politic to secure lawful rights, freedoms that are singularly reserved to the people under common law.

**II. The Militia in relation to these matters shall be subordinate to the civil authority as per Article\_\_\_\_, Section \_\_\_\_ of the State Constitution of \_\_\_\_\_, as adopted in \_\_\_\_\_ Anno Domini. The Judicature movement extends the civil protection to the Militia, and the Militia extends**

**physical protection to following the precedence of the reestablishment of common law rights and the proper establishment of Grand Assize/Grand Jury Courts for the securing of the same, to include the recording and civil recognition of Common Law Judgments rendered by the same. Until otherwise provided for in a manner to secure our sacred rights the Militia Members or other duly sworn public members may be utilized for the process of forming a duly constituted Grand Jury and the Assize Court.**

III. The Assize Court can hear issues brought to it by various methods. The petitioners request the Judicature movement to be heard on their matter, and enter it upon the record. When this is done, the petitioners are requested to sign a binding settlement agreement to abide by the decision of the Assize Court, as per Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution of the United States of America i.e. "the Obligation of Contracts". After this is done, the petitioners file briefs with the Assize Court. The Assize Court proceeds to adduce the evidence and render a judgment based upon their findings. The process should take less than two [2] weeks.

IV. The Grand Jury is a free and independent body that adduces its own evidence and delivers their findings to be recorded in the public record. If the Grand Jury findings need process of service, the normally recognized process of service officers, i.e. the sheriff, registered mail, or other personal deliver of said summons upon a sworn declaration that said declaration and or summons was properly delivered.

Vigilance is required in order to bring forward an effective effort to effect true and sensible change and bring the present de facto institutions into alignment with their own constitutions and contractual obligations to the people.

Demanding Truthfulness and Accountability on the part of all Public Servants in adhering to their Oaths to support the Constitution and Defend the Rights of the People is essential to preserving government for the People, by the People and of the People and is further essential in order to reestablish a de jure legitimate governing body on both the state and the federal level.

Notes in Summary of Proposed Actions Pertaining to the above resolutions:

It is my conclusion after having studied the defects in the U.S. Federal

Constitution, specifically the 14th and 16th amendments as well as the Jay Treaty and the various State Constitution that any actions taken to assert ones Sovereign Rights under the color of these legal instruments is doomed to failure; because all of these documents were the production of bodies divided amongst themselves and hence conquered from the outset - not Sovereign - only having the appearance thereof -

<http://www.angelfire.com/la/lawgiver/14thAm.html>

In order to obtain justice as a Sovereign it may require the establishments of independent common law courts, as the way things presently stand - both the lawyers and the judges belong to the same camp -being members of the BAR - collusion is often rampant and any hope of getting effective justice in such a system is at best nil - this is not to say that there are not many good officers in this capacity only to say that the price asked for administering justice is sometimes too high as is in the case of Jim Daily.-6 months after ruling against the Federal Reserve the judge was murdered.

[http://www.mortgagefree4u.com/daly\\_decree.htm](http://www.mortgagefree4u.com/daly_decree.htm)

I believe this raises the issues to be potentially addressed above the level that can be effectively handled by what are now generally de facto courts and governments - de facto for reasons not the least of which, oath of office filings have been long neglected also since 1933 our constitutional governments were largely supplanted with corporate states through actions taken with FDR to shed what ways left of our countries Sovereign rights and status ceding it I believe to the Federal Reserve, IRS, agents of the International Bankers and the British Monarchy - so we are left in reality as vassal states in servitude paying most of our taxes to these unlawful overlords.

Further collusion against the people has come in the form of unelected dictatorial federal bureaucracies - is this not the same kind of taxation without representation that our forebears were so upset with?

Considering all these things it is my best consideration that any attempt for legal change to this system that now seems very much out of control - should be handled under what I believe are two of our most important change documents - i.e. the Declaration of Independence and the Magna Charta. Not that the de facto state governments could really reform of



their own accord - but that the individual Sovereigns can declare their independence of the de facto officers State/Federal while affirming the lawful Articles of Confederation, the lawful state rights, as well as the lawful rights and responsibilities as they pertain to the Individual's Sovereign Status - to include the establishment of Magna Charta - Common-law Jury Courts to secure an adequate venue for the Sovereign Individuals hearing.

The Illuminati mode of operation is that of divide and conquer through intrigue, deception, misrepresentation, or whatever means to obtain their ends. The establishment of Common-law Courts, that uphold the previously passed 13th amendment barring titles of nobility and hence members of the BAR would be an excellent step in this direction -

These efforts are not to be taken on with either state or federal authority but under the venue of United Individual Sovereign Authority; hence I make no reference to being a Citizen or part of a city - to be directed by Washington D.C. or a state capitol as both of these positions can be greatly compromising. It is only through this recognition that the Individual Sovereign can have true claim and independence of the often corrupt and political machines that are set to work for the purpose of creating division, fear, and further enslavement of the people.

I recognize that this effort cannot be obtained by any one mans single action - but that each on demanding change must be a part of a larger action - or a new Sovereign Body Politic - which each effectively challenge the illegal actions of our de facto courts and governing bodies until we can bring these bodies back into balance and hopefully back into an acceptable constitutional and de jure status -

While securing the individual liberties of the concerned patriots who are not content to wait and allow de facto state and federal officers, both elected and appointed to rule without effective recourse or opposition; it is important that we stand with all of those who desire to change things for the better - as while we keep unity among ourselves we are not so soon yet, to be divided.

The training of others desiring to learn the process of litigation is also important if we are going to let the de facto machines know we mean business -

Also the raising of likeminded individuals who will take the time to become involved is very important especially in the process of forming Common-law Juries.

The de facto court system is in league with the money changers as I understand it, through the floating bonds and levying fines as well as the taking of exorbitant legal fees, making it a crime for profit venture for the state. This system being set up as a commercial or debtor court system should be fully challenged with a functional, lawful Common-law court system; that can and will either peacefully supplant the old system or use the remedial remedies given in the Magna Charta and also citing Declaration of Independence measures, so as to create an effective instrument for change by enforcing current delinquencies in the performance by public officials until they are ready to be up front and quit flaunting the law they ask us to live by - otherwise as Sovereigns we can take official legal action on the part of the de jure - legal – lawful and constitutional governments to ensure their compliance or removal with fines and other penalties.

<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/secretoath.htm>

---

[Concerning money and exchange, honest money is mandated by God's law and therefore Common Law. Our Constitution has and still mandates Gold and Silver.

Further, as it has been mandated since time of old that usury is prohibited by the Law of God, and as the people have sunk under it's pervasive influence to their enslavement which in itself is bad; and yet these purveyors of debt notes worldwide are conspiring to attain complete control and servitude of the people through a system that makes USURY look appealing.

The Mark of the Beast is the USER'S tool. It is important to mandate the retention of the right to use honest, constitutional money as Gold and Silver.

In this time and age, it is appropriate for the people to reject any rules, provisions or requirements that would attempt to coerce the people to accept an electronic implant

in order to work, buy or sell under the old age provision noted as USERY, as this is slavery like the world has never seen. And anyone promoting this system is to be given notice to cease and desist from their ominous practice of PUBLIC USERY. No government can claim legitimacy while sanctioning, or allowing such a travesty to be made of Mans Rights. This is a direct attack upon the Rights of the People, which if not confronted and stopped; will spell the end of Man's Rights and the beginning of long age perpetual slavery for mankind, for the benefit of the depraved few. This being the case it is important to unite the people, and bring forth a public consensus through a large public petition in which the people puts their servants in Washington on notice that this is totally unacceptable and mandate a law in Washington and in each state be passed against the use of electronic implants for the use of monetary funds transfer or the marking of the people in general.

Further, being these are Unalienable Rights and any traitorous laws or corruptions of Justice being promoted by our corrupted Congress, Judges, or other illuminati influenced official or body is put on notice that the People as the Community of God are not Lawfully subject to the arbitrary rulings, mandates, or other unlawful pronouncement or other attempted takings. Involuntary Servitude or Slavery is unjust and against the Laws of Free Agency and contrary to all the People's Rights as FREE MEN that are accorded by Common Law.]

---

---

## Evokes their Juris & diction and Eliminating the "Adhesion Contract" of the "ZIP Code"

### - Use of the "ZIP Code" invokes Federal Jurisdiction -

Use of the ZIP Code is voluntary (see Domestic Mail Services Regulations, Section 122.32). The Postal Service can not discriminate against the non-use of the ZIP Code (see Postal Reorganization Act, Section 403 [Public Law 91-375]),

For More Information -> <http://www.enter.net/~arthurlf/postal.htm>

The federal government utilizes the ZIP Code to prove that you reside in a "federal district of the District of Columbia." This is why the IRS and other government agencies (both state and federal) require a ZIP Code when they assert jurisdiction by sending you a letter. Though they claim its use is to speed the mail, it is a well planned and subtle trick. It is also PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE that you are a subject of Congress and a "citizen of the District of Columbia," who is "resident" in one of the 50 several states. U.S. "residency" was, along with U.S. "citizenship," established by the 14th Amendment. The definition of the words "resident" and "inhabitant" mean the same thing (27 Fed. Cas.#16,024 US. v. Penelope (1508)). Since nearly all exercise of jurisdiction by federal government is "Commerce Clause" based, action by the feds may only be taken upon U.S. residents. A resident is one who opens a store or takes any step preparatory to business. A resident engages in buying and selling, a commercial activity. The "step preparatory" was the "birth certificate" (another subject, for another time).

The receipt of mail with a ZIP Code is one of the requirements for the IRS to have jurisdiction to send you notices. The government can not bill an American National, as he is not within the purview of the municipal laws of the District of Columbia. In fact, the Internal Revenue Service has adopted the ZIP Code areas as Internal Revenue Districts (see the Federal Register, Volume 51, #53, Wednesday, March 19, 1986).

Remember, the Postal Service is a private corporation, no longer a full government agency. It is a quasi governmental agency like the Federal Reserve System, the Internal Revenue Service and the United States Marshall Service. As private corporations they are all outside the restrictions of the Federal Constitution. They are all powerful in their respective areas of responsibility to enforce collection for the federal debt.

When you use the Zip Code you are, in effect, saying openly and notoriously that you do not live in the American Republic, but instead, are a "resident" in the "state of the forum" area of the District of Columbia (a federal district). This places you within the municipal jurisdiction of the District of Columbia. Now, what is your status? Are you a "slave" and a second class citizen (so commonly referred to as a "federal or U.S. citizen") or are you an American National, in the American Republic? Don't we say: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands.....? You must decide who and what you are. The importance of exercising your claim of exemption from use of the ZIP Code can not be overstated. This is especially true when litigating federal matters. When you claim the exemption from ZIP Codes you show the status of not a U.S.resident. Many people simply leave the ZIP Code off, but this just looks like an oversight on your part, not an intentional act of claiming the exemption, and "they" will simply issue the ZIP to you.

To claim the exemption from ZIP Codes:

- 1) Write "c/o" before the street address.
- 2) Use the "postal zone" (follow the name of the city with the last 2 digits of the ZIP).
- 3) Spell out and underline the state.
- 4) Add the words ZIP EXEMPT.
- 5) Use upper and lower case letters with initial caps only, don't use ALL CAPS.
- 6) Don't abbreviate Street, Highway, Avenue, etc. (optional)

Example:

1234 MAIN ST.  
PASADENA, CA  
91101

Becomes:

c/o 1234 Main Street  
Pasadena 01, California  
ZIP EXEMPT

## **Managing Your Courtroom: Practical Solutions to Common Courtroom Issues Managing the Pro Se and Militia Litigant**

John Ort  
Michigan Supreme Court  
Security and Emergency Management Director  
(517) 373-4427  
[ortj@courts.mi.gov](mailto:ortj@courts.mi.gov)

Militia groups represent interests that span the full political spectrum, as well as social issues and concerns. Law enforcement focuses primarily on the *radical* element of the militia movement—those individuals capable and willing to commit violence against the government, law enforcement, civilian, military, and international targets (i.e., U.N., visiting foreign military personnel). Not all militia groups advocate violence.

### General Characteristics of the Militia:

- The goal of the militia movement is to defend and protect the U.S. Constitution from those who want to take away the rights of Americans
- They believe that the U.S. Constitution gives Americans the right to live their lives without government interference
- They believe that maintaining freedom and keeping the government in check depends, ultimately, on the deterrent of an armed populace
- They believe that federal and state governments have unconstitutionally exceeded their proper spheres of influence or power, -and need to be stopped
- They believe a conspiracy exists to take away their guns (1993 Brady Act)
- They believe that they are over-taxed by the government
- They seek a public forum for their political views and an opportunity to clog the court system with their rhetoric
- They learn about and insert themselves into confrontational situations between citizens and the government in order to attempt to force the government to back down
- They have discovered that one way to neutralize the government is to overload it with frivolous claims and ridiculous lawsuits
- They consider themselves to be VOG , or victims of government
- They hate the media
- They are unbelievably paranoid
- They are exceedingly imaginative
- They create complex conspiracies to explain just about anything
- They stockpile weapons and supplies
- They are well-trained and more proficient with weapons and using military tactics than the average sportsman

### Tactics:

- To threaten, intimidate, and bully you (recusal/shopping for a new judge)
- To cause confusion by using courtroom antics
- To catch you off-guard and uninformed
- To slow or disrupt the legal process by filing frivolous documents

### Militia Confrontations – Five-Step Dynamic:

1. Identifying the “victim” of government
2. Mobilizing support and getting the word out
3. Appearance at the scene
4. Confrontation period
5. Aftermath

### Courtroom Preparedness:

- You have the advantage because you may be dealing with a *known* threat. The key is to effectively manage or neutralize the threat
- Conduct a threat assessment
- Plan and consult with federal, state, and local law enforcement
- Treat cases involving militia members as *high profile* trials
- Establish rules of conduct and set boundaries from the onset
- Your first contact with the party will establish the rules of conduct for future meetings
- Knowledge is the best weapon to combat their rhetoric
- Resources
  - [www.adl.org](http://www.adl.org) (Anti-Defamation League)
  - [www.splcenter.org](http://www.splcenter.org) (Southern Poverty Law Center)